(6)-(4R-APA)-distamycin as a colorless amorphous material ( 9.5 mg , $30 \%):[\alpha]^{22.5}+7.9^{\circ}(c=0.365, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;$ IR (KBr) 3446, 1654, 1647 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.16\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \times 3\right), \mathrm{I} .24(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.65-1.90(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.90-2.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.15-2.40(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.92\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3} \times 2\right), 3.00-3.30(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.35-3.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 3.80-4.20 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.87, 3.886, 3.894, 3.91 (each $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArOCH}_{3}$ and $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{3} \times 3\right), 4.60-4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.17(2 \mathrm{H}$, distorted s), $7.35-7.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44$ (1 H, distorted s); FABMS $m / z 1112\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$.

DNA-Cleavage Experiment. Nucleotide sequence cleavage was investigated on the $5^{\prime}$ - and $3^{\prime}$-end-labeled strands of a 100 -base-pair DNA restriction fragment ( $A l u \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{HaeIII}$ ) from the phage R199/G4ori. The reaction mixtures contained 10 mM Tris- HCl buffer ( pH 7.4 ), the $5^{\prime}-$ or $3^{\prime}$-end ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$-labeled G4 phage DNA fragment, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of carrier calf thymus DNA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ PYML( 6 )-(4R-APA)distamycin (or natural BLM)-iron complex. After the reaction solutions were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , the DNA samples were subjected to electrophoresis on a $10 \%$ polyacrylamide $/ 7 \mathrm{M}$ urea slab gel. The autoradiogram was scanned with a microdensitometer.
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#### Abstract

An earlier investigation into proton exchange and epimerization of amino acids chelated to Co (III) ${ }^{1}$ has been improved and extended to include the amino acids (AA) Phe, Val, Ala, Gly, Glu, and Asp in the complex cations $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{AA})\right]^{2+,+}$. Equilibrium concentrations of the diastereomers measured in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(K_{\mathrm{C}}(\Delta-S / \Lambda-S)=1.15\right.$ (Phe), 2.0 (Val), 1.0 (Ala, Gly), 0.85 (Glu), 0.67 (Asp)) vary little with ionic strength and are the same in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Rate constants for $\mathrm{OD}^{-}$-catalyzed proton exchange at the $2-\mathrm{CH}$ centers differ for the $\Delta-S$ and $\Lambda-S$ diastereomers and can be related to the rate constant for epimerization provided the concept of a common carbanion intermediate is used. There is no correlation between the rate data and the overall charge on the complex. Selectivity differences are demonstrated in the reprotonation process ( $\Lambda-R / \Lambda-S=1.6$ (Phe), 1.6 (Val), 0.9 (Ala), 0.8 (Gly), 0.75 (Glu), 0.5 (Asp)), and these are shown to be thermodynamically driven. This corrects previous investigations on the AA = Asp and Glu complexes. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ rate studies show a kinetic isotope difference of $\sim 8$ for reprotonation in favor of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, but no selectivity difference between ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ in forming the $\Lambda-R, \Lambda-S$ epimers.


This paper extends our earlier investigation into proton exchange and epimerization of chelated amino acid anions of type $1^{1}$ by examining in greater detail the properties of carbanion 2 generated by deprotonation of $\mathbf{1}$ by $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions in aqueous solution (eq 1).


It is well-known that metal ions enhance the carbon acidity of chelated amino acids, ${ }^{2}$ but the influence of the additional asymmetric metal center (structures such as 1 are diastereotopic) on the thermodynamic and kinetic stereochemical preferences for electrophilic addition to the resulting prochiral carbanion 2 is little investigated or understood.

[^0]This new study came about for two reasons. First, an investigation of epimerization during peptide synthesis using Co -(III)-activated amino acid esters ${ }^{3}$ (eq 2) has revealed that car-

banions 3, generated under the conditions of the coupling reaction, have decided diastereomeric preferences for reprotonation, and it was of interest to compare these preferences with those of the somewhat more conjugated amino acid carbanions 2. Such information could lead to an appreciation of the steric properties, and possibly lifetimes, of such intermediates. Second, subsequent

[^1]

3
to our earlier study, Erickson, ${ }^{4}$ and then Legg and co-workers in a series of publications, ${ }^{5,7,8}$ suggested that carbanions 2, generated from the chelates of aspartic and glutamic acids, had chiral properties for reprotonation that were not entirely thermodynamically controlled, since reprotonation appeared to preserve to some extent the original configuration of the asymmetric $2-\mathrm{C}$ center; i.e., it appeared that there was an additional barrier to inversion over and above that necessary for proton loss. This was of considerable interest to us since chiral stability of a carbanion center adjacent to a carbonyl carbon (or $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ nitrogen) would be most unusual, and of considerable synthetic utility.

This paper describes results we have obtained on this problem for chelates 1 containing amino acid anions derived from valine, phenylalanine, alanine, glycine, and aspartic and glutamic acids.

## Experimental Section

All chemicals were of LR or AR grade. Optical rotations and polarimetric rate data were obtained by using a thermostated I-dm cell and a Perkin-Elmer 141 polarimeter. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data (equilibrium and rate data) were obtained by using $5-\mathrm{mm}$ tubes and a Varian VXR 300 spectrometer at $25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or at other preset temperatures. Deuterated (trimethylsilyl)propanesulfonate (or tert-butyl alcohol) was used as an internal reference (and/or standard signal). Visible spectra were obtained with a Cary 219 spectrometer, and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ scintillation counting was carried out on a LKB 1217 Rackbeta liquid scintillation counter.

Preparations. The amino acid chelates $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{AA})\right] \mathrm{X}_{2}$ (AA $=\mathrm{Gly},{ }^{9}$ Ala, ${ }^{10}$ Phe, ${ }^{9,10} \mathrm{Leu},{ }^{9}$ Val ${ }^{1.9} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$) were prepared and the $\Delta-S$ and $\Lambda-S$ diastereomers separated and isolated as previously described. $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{AAH})\right] \mathrm{X}_{2}\left(\mathrm{AA}=\mathrm{Glu}, \mathrm{Asp} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right.$, $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}$) were prepared as described by Legg and Steele. ${ }^{11}$ For these two complexes we found that diastereomer separation was easily achieved using $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{M}$ phosphate buffer ( pH 6.9 ) and Dowex $50 \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{X} 2$ cat-ion-exchange resin, with the $\Lambda-S$ (faster moving) and $\Delta-S$ (slower moving) diastereomers being finally removed with $2-3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl} . \Lambda$ - and $\Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{AA})\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ salts $(\mathrm{AA}=\mathrm{Glu}$, Asp) were isolated following neutralization with LiOH (to pH 7 ) of an aqueous solution of the recovered solid (rotary evaporation) and addition of excess $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ followed by $\mathrm{MeOH}(\Lambda-S)$ and $\operatorname{PrOH}(\Delta-S)$. Crystallization was induced by cooling and scratching in an ice bath.

Equilibration Experiments. The complexes ( $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were dissolved in the various solvent systems listed in Table I and held at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-7$ days. They were then adsorbed onto the eluted from Dowex 50W-X2 cation-exchange resin ( 3 M HCl ), and the total band was taken to dryness by rotary evaporation. The residue was then twice dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and taken to dryness (to remove residual $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) and the solid stored in an evacuated desiccator. The $\mathrm{AA}=$ Glu and Asp complexes were similarly stored after neutralization to $\mathrm{pH} \sim 7(0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOD})$. Samples were dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra recorded and integrated. Spectra of samples similarly equilibrated in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{OD}^{-}$solutions were either recorded directly in the solvent system following equilibration or acidified ( 3 M HCl ) and the solvent and electrolyte removed as detailed above.

H-Exchange Rate Data. Solutions of the complexes were made up in $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solutions ( $5-10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ at pH 7 ), and the NMR spectrometer was tuned on the internal reference ( $700 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of solution in a $5-\mathrm{mm}$ tube). Then $10-75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.500 M NaOD was injected into the tube, the contents quickly shaken, a final quick instrument shim undertaken, and data collection begun. Normally 36 transients were collected for each data points ( $5.4-\mu$ s pulse width, $1.560-\mathrm{s}$ delay), and a time delay was chosen to give repetitions at $5-, 10-, 15-$, or $30-\mathrm{min}$ intervals. Conditions of data collection, and probe temperature, were varied as neces-

[^2]Table I. Concentration Equilibrium Constants for
$\Lambda, \Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S, R-\mathrm{AA})\right]^{n+}$ Complexes $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{a}$

| complex | charge type $n+$ | conditions of equilibria | $K_{C}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Lambda, \Delta$-Val | 2+ | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | 2.0 |
|  |  | $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | 1.9 |
|  |  | 0.025 M NaOH | 1.92, 2.05 |
| $\Lambda, \Delta-\mathrm{Val}^{\text {c }}$ | $2+$ | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOD}$ | 2.1 |
|  |  | $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOD}$ | 2.05 |
|  |  |  | av $2.0 \pm 0.1$ |
| , , - Ala | 2+ | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | $1.0 \pm 0.02$ |
| $\Lambda, \Delta$-Leu | $2+$ | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | $0.95 \pm 0.02$ |
| $\Lambda, \Delta$-Phe | $2+$ | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.005 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | 1.1 |
|  |  | $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | 1.2, 1.2 |
|  |  | $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{pH} \sim 11)$ | 1.16 |
| $\Lambda, \Delta$-Phe ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.005 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | 1.2 |
|  |  | $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{pH} \mathrm{11.13)}$ | 1.1 |
|  |  |  | av $1.15 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A, } \Delta \text {-Ala }{ }^{c} \\ & \text {-Glu } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2+ \\ & 1+ \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 M NaCl/0.05 M NaOD | $1.0 \pm 0.05$ |
|  |  | $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | $0.85 \pm 0.03$ |
|  |  | 0.05 M NaOH | 0.86, 0.87 |
|  |  | $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NEt}_{3}(\mathrm{pH} 11.8)$ | 0.88 |
|  |  | $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{pH} 12.1)$ | 0.74 |
|  |  | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (pH 12.1) | 0.64 |
| - Asp | $1+$ | $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ | $0.67 \pm 0.03$ |
|  |  | 0.05 M NaOH | 0.68 |
| A, $\Delta$-Asp |  | $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NEt}_{3}(\mathrm{pH} 11.8)$ | 0.70 |
|  |  | $0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{pH} 12.1)$ | 0.77 |
|  |  | $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{pH} 12.1)$ | 0.42 |

${ }^{a}$ Integration of 2-CH proton resonances (cf. Figures 1-3); acidified $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} .{ }^{b} K_{\mathrm{C}}=([\Lambda-R]+[\Delta-S]) /([\Lambda-S]+[\Delta-R])$ for mixtures of $\Lambda$ and $\Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{AA})\right]^{n+}$ complexes; $K_{\mathrm{C}}=[\Lambda-R] /[\Lambda-S]$ for equilibration of $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{AA})\right]^{n+}$ complexes. Errors, when given, represent maximum deviations from four experiments. ${ }^{6}$ Equilibrated in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ / $\mathrm{OD}^{-}$; integration of diastereomeric $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ doublets at 1.10 and 1.15 ppm ( $\mathrm{AA}=$ Val, Ala), and diastereomeric $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ singlets at $\sim 3.25 \mathrm{ppm}$ (AA $=$ Phe).
sary. At the completion of a run the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (valine) or $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (phenylalanine) region of the spectrum was integrated to give epimer distributions at equilibrium. H-exchange rates were determined by integrating the $2-\mathrm{CH}$ absorptions of each epimer and plotting $\log \left(I_{t}-I_{\infty}\right)$ vs time. A residual $I_{\infty}$ value was always found (instrument noise) even though there was no obvious remaining signal.

Epimerization Rate Data. For $\mathrm{\Lambda}-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}\left(S-\mathrm{Val}^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, data were collected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR integration by following development of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ absorption at 1.10 ppm and decay of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ absorption at 1.15 ppm . Samples were prepared and data collected as outlined above, with $t$ BuOH as an internal (integrated) standard. For $\Lambda$ - $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{AA})\right] \mathrm{I}_{2}$ (AA $=$ Val, Phe) and $\Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{AA})\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}(\mathrm{AA}=\mathrm{Glu}, \mathrm{Asp})$, data were collected polarimetrically ( $25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) by following changes in optical rotation at the $436-\mathrm{nm} \mathrm{Hg}$ line. Solutions $\left(\sim 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in 0.1 M NaCl $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ were mixed with standardized NaOD and rotations recorded as a function of time. Similar experiments were carried out with solutions in $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{OH}^{-}\right)$. The polarimeter was initially set at approximately the starting rotation by using blank solutions of the complex.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-Exchange Experiments. 1. Aspartate Complex. Approximately 0.15 g of an equilibrated mixture of $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S, R-\mathrm{AspH})\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (see above) dissolved in $5.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of 0.1 M NaCl was adjusted to pH 6.9 (2 $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH})$ and $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(5 \mathrm{Ci} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ added, followed by $5.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of 0.1 M NaOH . A $100-\mu \mathrm{L}$ aliquot of this solution was immediately removed, quenched with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.1 M HCl , and made up to $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ (solution enrichment: this solution was further diluted 100 -fold with water before counting). Sample A: after 5 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ was removed, quenched with $5.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of 0.05 M HCl , diluted with water ( 10 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), adjusted to pH 7 , and adsorbed onto Dowex 50W-X2 cation-exchange resin ( $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$form, $10 \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ column). Sample B: the remainder of the solution was treated similarly after 15 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were washed with 0.05 M phosphate buffer for 2 h and then with 0.1 M HCl for 2 h (slowly eluting) and then removed from the resin ( $2-3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$ ) and rotary-evaporated to dryness. Residues were taken up in water, the pH was adjusted to 7 , and the complexes were readsorbed onto long ( 30 $\times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) Dowex $50 \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{X} 2$ columns ( $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$form) and washed with $0.02-0.033 \mathrm{M}$ phosphate buffer ( pH 6.8 ) for 48 h . The complex slowly eluted during this time and separated into two orange bands (larger, faster moving, $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R$; smaller, slower moving, $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S$ ). The eluate was then changed to 0.1 M HCl and washing continued for 2 days. The separate bands were removed from the column and the complexes re-
covered from the resin with 3 M HCl and reduced to dryness. The residues were made up in water to about the same Co concentration ( $\sim 3$ $\mathrm{mM}, \epsilon(487 \mathrm{~nm})=104)$. A total of $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of each was added to $9 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of Bray's scintillation fluid (after background counting) and counted for 1 or 3 min . Data were as follows (sample, total volume ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), concentration (mM), cpm, cpm (background)): A ( $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S$ ), 23.5, 3.16, 2645, 47; A ( $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R), 36.4,3.08,3167,48$; B ( $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S), 26,3.12,7651,53$; B ( $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R), 40.5,3.17,8572,55$. The solvent enrichment ( $5 \times$ ${ }^{10} 0^{4}$ )-fold dilution) gave 45604 cpm (background, 52 cpm ). Sample B ( $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R$ ) was then divided in two. Half was washed for a further 1 day with phosphate buffer and worked up as before (sample C). To the other half was added an equal volume of 0.1 M NaOH ; the mixture was left for 15 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and again worked up as before (sample D). Counting data for these samples were as follows (sample, concentration (mM), cpm, cpm (background)): C, 0.702, 2006, 92; D, 0.388, 1072, 87.

In another experiment a mixture of $59 \% \mathrm{~A}-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}\right.$ - Asp$\left.)\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ and $41 \% \Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Asp})\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}(69 \mathrm{mg})$ was treated identically as above. Samples were removed after $15 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$, and 16 h. The diastereomers were washed and separated as above except that washing was extended by readsorbing the final recovered complexes onto fresh Dowex resin and washing for a further 8 h with phosphate buffer. Samples were collected as before and counting was carried out at two or three dilutions. Data are given in Table V. A total of $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of a $5 \times$ $10^{4}$ dilution of a $100-\mu \mathrm{L}$ sample of the original solution gave 29196 cpm (background, 54 cpm ).
2. Valine Complex. About 0.1 g of an equilibrated mixture of $\Delta$,-$\Lambda$-[Co(en $)_{2}(R, S$-Val) $] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was dissolved in $1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of 0.1 M NaCl at pH 7; $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(5 \mathrm{Ci} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ was added, followed by $1.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of 0.1 M NaOH . After 10 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1 M HCl was added (quench), and the solution was diluted with water ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and pH was adjusted to 7 , and the washing and recovery of the complex from Dowex $50 \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{X} 2$ cation-exchange resin were carried out exactly as described above for the aspartic acid complex. For the valine complex the smaller $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R$ band (faster moving) only just separated from the larger $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S$ band (slower moving) on a $30-\mathrm{cm}$ column, and representative middle cuts were used for counting ( 3 min ) purposes. Data obtained were as follows (sample, concentration (mM), cpm, cpm (background)): A ( $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S$ ), $0.396,1147,90 ;$ B ( $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R$ ), 0.386, 1388, 63.

## Results

1. Equilibrium Distribution of Epimers. First, it was necessary to determine equilibrium distributions under conditions of complete proton exchange and epimerization as shown in eq 3 , where $K_{\mathrm{C}}$ $=([\Lambda-R]+[\Delta-S]) /([\Lambda-S]+[\Delta-R])$. Previously such distri-

$$
\Lambda, \Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{AA})\right]^{n+}+\underset{\Lambda, \Delta-\left[\mathrm{Oo}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(R-\mathrm{AA})\right]^{n+}}{\stackrel{K_{\mathrm{C}}}{\rightleftharpoons}}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

butions had been found polarimetrically, ${ }^{1,12-14}$ or from circular dichroism ${ }^{8.15}$ measurements, by comparing rotations of the mutarotated mixtures ( $\Lambda-S+\Lambda-R$, eq 4) with those of the optically

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{AA})\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \stackrel{K_{\mathrm{C}}}{\rightleftharpoons} \\
\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(R-\mathrm{AA})\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

pure isomers. This requires a very precise knowledge of the specific rotations of both optically pure forms under the conditions of the mutarotation experiment ( $\Lambda-S$, and usually $\Delta-S(\Lambda-R)$, eq 4), and we know from bitter experience that this information is very difficult, if not impossible, to obtain. ${ }^{16}$ Alternatively, equilibrium distributions have been found by separating the mutarotated mixture into its diastereomeric components by thin-layer, ${ }^{17}$ ionpair, ${ }^{18}$ or ion-exchange ${ }^{15,19}$ chromatography. However, such

[^3]Table II. H-Exchange Rate Data for $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S, R-\mathrm{AA})\right]^{2+.+}$ Complexes in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{OD}^{-}\left(0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3} \mathrm{NaCl}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{a}$

| complex | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{2}\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right] \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \end{aligned}$ | $10^{4} k_{\text {obs }}, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |  | $\frac{10^{2} k_{\mathrm{H}},}{\mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S^{c}$ | $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R$ | $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S$ |
| Ala | 3.33 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
|  | 1.72 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
|  | $3.33{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 6.1 | 5.25 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
|  | $1.72^{\text {d }}$ | 3.0 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Phe | 1.39 | 16.3 | 22 | 11.7 | 15.8 |
|  | 1.0 | 12.0 | 17 | 12 | 17 |
|  | 0.50 | 6.5 | 9 | 13 | 18 |
|  | $1.39{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 9.05 | 12.7 | 6.5 | 9.1 |
|  | $0.70^{\text {d }}$ | 4.55 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 9.1 |
| Glu | $4.84{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 14.6 | 12.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
|  | 2.70 | 15.6 | 13.6 | 5.8 | 5.0 |
|  | 1.72 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 5.9 | 5.1 |
|  | 1.05 | 6.0 | 5.25 | 5.7 | 5.0 |
| Asp | 3.33 | 13.2 | 10.5 | 4.0 | 3.15 |
|  | 1.72 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 3.1 |
|  | $4.84{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 12.1 | 8.9 | 2.5 | 1.8 |
|  | $2.70^{\text {d }}$ | 6.8 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 1.9 |
|  | $1.72{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4.2 | 3.1 | 2.45 | 1.8 |

${ }^{a}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data. ${ }^{b}$ Disappearance of high-field 2-CH signal. ${ }^{c}$ Disappearance of low-field 2-CH signal. ${ }^{d} 1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$.
methods can be time consuming, and complete separations, or quantitative recoveries of the separated diastereomers, have in many cases proved difficult to achieve. Such methods also usually rely on the assumption that the two diastereomers have equal extinction coefficients. We chose to use the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR method since it is easy to apply and quantitative information can be obtained by integrating the separate $2-\mathrm{CH}$ signals. Also, it does not rely on any particular purity in the specimen, or electrolyte condition, and it can be applied to optically impure mixtures and to mixtures of more than one complex in nearly every instance.

Solutions were equilibrated for between 24 h and 4 weeks under conditions listed in Table I and the solvent and electrolyte removed. (This was unnecessary for the valine and phenylalanine complexes equilibrated in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.) Spectra were run under acidic conditions in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ after the solutions had been allowed to stand at neutral $\mathrm{pH}\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right.$ added to $\mathrm{pH} \sim 7,30$ s) to remove unwanted $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ signals. Figures $1-3$ give spectra of the fully equilibrated complexes, and the diastereomeric 2-CH resonances are clearly distinguished in each case. Table I lists $K_{\mathrm{C}}$ values obtained from instrument integration of these signals. Duplicate experiments were carried out, and it was shown that complete equilibration was achieved after 24 h in $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$although checks were made for up to 4 weeks. The presence or absence of NaCl does not affect the distribution, but equilibration in the presence of phosphate buffer ( pH 6.88 ) clearly favors the $\Lambda-S(\Delta-R)$ diastereomer in the case of the Glu and Asp complexes. This latter aspect was not followed up but has been noted previously in related systems. ${ }^{20}$ The presence of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ also appears to affect the distribution but in opposite senses for the $\mathrm{AA}=$ Glu and Asp complexes. Equilibration of $\Lambda, \Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Val})\right]^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave the same distribution as in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, as demonstrated by integrating the diastereomeric $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ doublets at $1.10 \mathrm{ppm}(\Delta-S, \Lambda-R)$ and 1.15 $\mathrm{ppm}(\Lambda-R, \Delta-S)$. This same result was found for $\Lambda, \Delta-[C 0-$ (en) $\left.2_{2}(\mathrm{Phe})\right]^{2+}$ by integrating the phenylalanine $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signal at 3.25 ppm for a solution equilibrated in $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$-buffered $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{21}$ It can be concluded from these last two experiments that the solvent
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Figure 1. $300-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-A A)\right]^{2+}$ following equilibration in $0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH} / 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$ for 24 h : (A) AA $=$ Val; (B) $\mathbf{A A}=\mathrm{Ala} ;(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{AA}=$ Leu. In each case the 2-CH absorptions at lowest field, and the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ absorption at highest field, are due to the $\Delta-S+\Lambda-R$ combination, and correspondingly the $2-\mathrm{CH}$ absorption at higher field, and the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ absorption at lower field, is due to the $\Delta-R$ $+\Lambda-S$ enantiomers. The inset to (B) gives the two $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ singlets for the $\mathrm{AA}=$ Ala system following equilibration in $0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution for 24 h .
$\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ does not affect $K_{\mathrm{C}}$.
2. H-Exchange Rate Data. These data were obtained from $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{OD}^{-}$mixtures by following decay of the $2-\mathrm{CH}$ proton signal


Figure 2. $300-\mathrm{MHz}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-A A)\right]^{+}$following equilibration in $0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH} / 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$ for $24 \mathrm{~h}:$ (A) AA $=$ Glu; (B) $\mathbf{A A}=$ Asp. In each case the downfield 2-CH multiplet is due to the $\Delta-S+\Lambda \cdot R$ combination and the upfield multiplet to the $\Delta-R+$ $\Lambda-S$ combination.
as a function of time. Since both diastereomeric protons were clearly distinguished, both exchanges could be followed in one experiment using mixtures. In this way small differences in rate became very apparent.
(a) $\Delta, \Lambda$ - $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\boldsymbol{S} \text {-Ala })\right]^{2+}$. Data ( $k_{\text {obs }}(\mathrm{H}), k_{\mathrm{H}}$ ) are given in Table II. From the two experiments at different [ $\mathrm{OD}^{-}$] the rate law $k_{\text {obs }}(\mathrm{H})=k_{\mathrm{H}}\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right]$is confirmed. ${ }^{1}$ Figure 4 A shows the results from a run using 33.3 mM [ $\mathrm{OD}^{-}$]. It can be seen that the initially equivalent signals corresponding to the $\Delta-S$ and $\Lambda-S$ diastereomers decay at slightly different rates; the $\Lambda$-isomer is somewhat faster to exchange ( $6.08 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) than the $\Delta$-isomer ( $5.25 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ).
(b) $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R} \text {-Val })\right]^{2+}$. In this case a previously equilibrated mixture of diastereomers was used as well as the separate isomers. Rate data are given in Table III (together with epimerization data; see below). Figure 4B shows decay of the two $2-\mathrm{CH}$ resonances representing the $\Delta-S, \Lambda-R$ and $\Delta-R, \Lambda-S$ combinations in an experiment using $33.3 \mathrm{mM}\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right.$] in 1.0 M NaCl . The less abundant isomer ( $\Delta-R, \Lambda-S$ ) decays more rapidly $\left(4.91 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ than the more abundant isomer ( $\Delta-S, \Lambda-R ; 3.91$ $\left.\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. The data for $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Val})\right]^{2+}$ follow the rate law $k_{\text {obs }}(\mathrm{H})=k_{\mathrm{H}}\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right]$. Second-order rate constants are $3.3 \times$ $10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $2.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the $\Lambda-S(\Delta-R)$


Figure 3. $300-\mathrm{MHz}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Phe})\right]^{2+}$ following equilibration in $0.005 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH} / 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$ for 24 h . The $2-\mathrm{CH}$ multiplet at lowest field is due to the $\Delta-S+\Lambda-R$ enantiomers and the multiplet at slightly higher field to the $\Delta-R+\Lambda-S$ combination. The insets show the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ multiplet of the phenylalanine chelate ( 3.25 ppm ) for (a) $\Delta$-[Co(en) ${ }_{2}(S \text {-Phe) }]^{2+}$ before equilibration ( $\mathrm{pH} \sim 7$ ), ABX system; (b) $\Delta$-[Co(en) $)_{2}(S \text {-Phe) }]^{2+}$ following equilibration in borax buffer ( $\mathrm{pH} \sim 9.7$ ) $/ \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for 1 week; and (c) $\Delta$ - $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S \text {-Phe) }]^{2+}\right.$ following equilibration in $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{pH} \sim 11) / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for 4 days. In (b) and (c) the upfield of the two $A B$ or $A_{2}$ systems is due to the $\Delta-S+\Lambda-R$ combination.

Table III. H-Exchange and Epimerization Rate Constants ${ }^{a}$ for $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S \text {-Val })\right]^{2+}$ Complexes $\left(0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3} \mathrm{NaCl}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

| complex | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{2}\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right], \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{s}^{-1}}{10^{4} k_{\text {obp }}}(\mathrm{H})$ | $\underset{\mathrm{s}^{-1}}{10^{4} k_{\text {otw }}}(\mathrm{E})$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{2} k_{\mathrm{H}}, \\ \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\ \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{2} k_{\mathrm{E}}, \\ & \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\ & \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-S | 3.33 | 10.7, 10.5 | 9.9, 9.7 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
|  | 2.0 | 6.0 |  | 3.0 |  |
|  | 1.72 | 5.8, 6.1 | 5.4, 5.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
|  | 1.4 | 3.8 |  | 2.7 |  |
|  | 1.0 | 3.1 |  | 3.1 |  |
|  | $1.0^{6}$ | $4.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | $4.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | $3.33{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.4 | 1.75 | 0.71 | 0.53 |
|  | 3.33 | 11.3 | 9.6 | 3.4 | 2.9 |
|  | $3.33{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 40 | 33 | 12 | 9.9 |
|  | $3.33{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 4.91 | 4.53 | 1.47 | 1.36 |
|  | $1.72{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.45 |
| $\Delta-S$ | 3.33 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
|  | 2.0 | 4.7 |  | 2.4 |  |
|  | 1.0 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 |  |
|  | $1.0^{6}$ | $3.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | $3.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | $3.33{ }^{\circ}$ | 3.95 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| $\Lambda-S / \Delta \cdot R+$ | 3.33 | 10.7, 8.58 |  | 3.2, 2.68 |  |
| $A-R / \Delta-S$ | $3.33{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 4.9, 3.98 |  | 1.5, $1.2^{5}$ |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OD}^{-}$mixtures. ${ }^{b} I \sim 0$; no supporting electrolyte. ${ }^{c} 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{d} 40^{\circ}$ C. $I I=1.0(\mathrm{NaCl})$. $f$ Disappearnace of 3.75 ppm signal $(\Lambda-S / \Delta-R)$. ${ }^{5}$ Disappearance of 3.90 ppm signal $(\Lambda-R / \Delta-S)$.
and $\Delta-S(\Lambda-R)$ diastereomers in 0.1 M NaCl . By following the decay of $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Val})\right]^{2+}$ at three temperatures $(40,25$, and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), an activation enthalpy for H-exchange of $\Delta H^{*}=66 \pm$ $5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ was found.
(c) $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{R}-\mathrm{Phe})\right]^{2+}$. Data are given in Table II. Figure 4C shows decay of the two $2-\mathrm{CH}$ absorptions for a nonequilibrium mixture of isomers. The more stable combination ( $\Delta-S, \Lambda-R$; downfield triplet) now exchanges more rapidly than the less stable combination ( $\Delta-R, \Lambda-S$; upfield triplet); $k_{\text {obs }}(\mathrm{H})$


Figure 4. 'H exchange rate data for collapse of the 2-CH absorptions in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{OD}^{-}$solutions: (A) $\Delta, \Lambda$ - $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S \text {-Ala) }]^{2+}\right.$ (equilibrium mixture) in $33.3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaOD} / 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80$-s collection time; (B) $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\text { en })_{2}(S-V a l)\right]^{2+}$ (equilibrium mixture) in $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 80 s ; (C) $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Phe})\right]^{2+}$ (nonequilibrium mixture) in 7.04 mM $\mathrm{NaOD} / 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \mathrm{~s}$.
$=6.42 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $4.55 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively, in 7.04 mM $\mathrm{OD}^{-}$. This situation requires other factors, in addition to proton loss, to be involved in deciding equilibrium concentrations.
(d) $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S, R-\mathrm{Glu})\right]^{+}$and $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S, R-\mathrm{Asp})\right]^{+}$. Rate data are given in Table II and the rate law $k_{\text {obs }}(H)=$ $k_{\mathrm{H}}\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right]$again holds. Figure 5 shows typical decays for these two complexes. Again the more stable combination ( $\Lambda-S, \Delta-R$ ) exchanges more rapidly than the less stable combination ( $\Lambda-R$, $\Delta-S$ ), but now the relative stability order is reversed compared to the above Phe system. The decays shown give rate constants of $8.8 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(\Lambda-R, \Delta-S\right.$; downfield signal) and $10.1 \times 10^{-4}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}(\Lambda-S, \Delta-R$; upfield signal) for the glutamate complex (Figure $5 \mathrm{~A})$ and $5.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\Lambda-R, \Delta-S$; downfield triplet) and $7.21 \times$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\Lambda-S, \Delta-R$; upfield triplet) for the aspartate complex (Figure 5B). Second-order rate constants ( $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ ) are given in Table II.
(e) $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{Gly})\right]^{2+}$. This is a most interesting system since the amino acid is achiral, but when coordinated to asymmetric Co (III), it becomes prochiral. At 60 MHz the complex displays a single resonance for the two $2-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons, and there has been no previous report of these protons being distinguished


Figure 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ exchange rate data for collapse of the $2-\mathrm{CH}$ absorptions in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 17.2 \mathrm{mM}$ OD solutions at $25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, equilibrium mixtures of diastereomers, and 80 -s collection times: (A) $\Delta, \Lambda$-[Co(en $)_{2}(S, R \text {-Glu) }]^{+}$; (B) $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(e \mathrm{n})_{2}(S, R \text {-Asp })\right]^{+}$.
by any physical technique. Indeed, other investigators have gone to varying lengths to develop observable chirality in chelated glycine by using more discriminating ligand systems ${ }^{22}$ and/or by modifying the glycine chelate itself. ${ }^{23,24}$
At $300 \mathrm{MHz}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \text { (Gly) }\right]^{2+}$ displays a clear AB pattern (Figure 6A; $J_{\mathrm{AB}}=17.86 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Furthermore, the two protons exchange at different rates. This is shown by the series of spectra given in Figure 6B. Initially the AB pattern ( $\Delta \nu_{\mathrm{AB}}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) collapses at a rate ( $6.80 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in $13.9 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{OD}^{-}$) that was determined by following decay of either of the two AB satellites at 3.71 or 3.58 ppm . As exchange continues, a new singlet appears at 3.61 ppm . Another singlet, which is initially buried under the central portion of the AB system, is also produced at 3.66 ppm . The latter signal becomes obvious at longer times after the AB system has completely collapsed. However, its intensity at early times can be found by subtracting from the total signal that portion of the AB system which must still be present. The intensity ratio at zero time, $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{0}\right]_{\text {cenraal }}:\left[\mathrm{AB}_{0}\right]_{\text {satellites }}=7.63$, was found by integrating the AB system in the absence of exchange, ${ }^{25}$ and since this value must be time independent, the amount to be subtracted from the central portion is given by $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{7}\right]_{\text {satellites }} \times 7.63$. In this way the intensity of the singlet at 3.66 ppm was found. Figure 7 plots intensities of the two singlets ( 3.66 and 3.61 ppm ) ${ }^{26}$ as a function of time. That at 3.66 ppm is clearly the more intense. This is assigned to the $\Lambda-R(\Delta-S)$ epimer containing the chiral
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Figure 6. (A) $300-\mathrm{MHz}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{Gly})\right]^{2+}$ in $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Inset: AB system of glycine chelate $\left(~ \Delta \nu_{\mathrm{AB}}=14.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz} ; J_{\mathrm{AB}}=17.86 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). (B) Decay of AB system of glycine as a function of time in $1.39 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOD} / 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$ at $25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $80-\mathrm{s}$ collection time. The time interval between acquisitions was 10 min .

## Scheme I



2- $\mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{H}$ glycine chelate, while that at 3.61 ppm is assigned to the $\Lambda-S(\Delta-R)$ epimer. This assignment is based on the order of chemical shifts found for the other chiral amino acid chelates (Figures 1-3). Analysis ${ }^{27}$ of these time-dependent data (Figure


Figure 7. Appearance and disappearance of $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S, R-G l y(H,-\right.$ D) ) $]^{2+}$ diastereomers on deuterating $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{Gly})\right]^{2+}$ in $1.39 \times 10^{-2}$ M NaOD/0.1 M NaCl at $25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
7) according to Scheme I gives the following rate ratios and rate constants: $k_{1} / k_{2}=1.25, k_{1}=3.8 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, k_{2}=3.02 \times 10^{-4}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}, k_{3}=2.63 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, k_{4}=3.26 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Thus removal of the prochiral $\Lambda-S(\Delta-R)$ proton is some 1.25 times faster than removal of the prochiral $\Lambda-R(\Delta-S)$ proton, and the secondary isotope effect of replacing $H$ with $D$ in the two chiral glycine chelates gives a rate decrease of $15 \%$ for removing the remaining proton $\left(\left(k_{1} / k_{4}\right)\right.$ and $\left.\left(k_{2} / k_{3}\right)=1.15\right)$.
3. Epimerization Rate Data. These data were obtained either by following ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR changes ( $\mathrm{AA}=\mathrm{Val}$ ) or by measuring polarimetrically ( $\mathrm{AA}=\mathrm{Val}$, Phe, Glu, Asp). In both methods, one epimer was used and changes were followed as a function of time until equilibrium was reached (eq 4). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data for $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Val})\right]^{2+}$ are given in Table III. Both the appearance of the upfield $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ doublet ( $1.10 \mathrm{ppm}, \Lambda-R$ ) and the decay of the downfield doublet ( $1.15 \mathrm{ppm}, \Lambda-S$ ) (Figure 8A) were followed. These changes are shown in Figure 8B. ${ }^{28}$ Both signals gave linear $\log \left(I_{t}-I_{\infty}\right)$ vs time plots, and the resulting $k_{\text {obs }}(\mathrm{E})$ values at different $\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right]$follow the expression $k_{\text {obs }}(\mathrm{E})=k_{\mathrm{E}}\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right]$, with $k_{\mathrm{E}}=3.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Final intensities gave $[\Lambda-R] /[\Lambda-S]$ $=2.0 \pm 0.1$, which is in excellent agreement with the equilibrium distribution found in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Data at 40,25 , and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave $\Delta H^{*}(\mathrm{E})=69 \pm 5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. This experiment was also used to determine $\Delta H^{*}(\mathrm{H})$ for proton exchange by following decay of the 2-CH absorptions (see above).

Polarimetric rate data were obtained in both $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and are given in Table IV. Mutarotation was followed at 436 nm (largest change with the Na or Hg lamps of the 141 polarimeter) and good first-order traces were obtained for all four complexes $\left(\mathrm{AA}=\mathrm{Val}\right.$, Phe, Glu, Asp). The rate expression $k_{\text {obs }}(\mathrm{E})=$ $k_{\mathrm{E}}\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right]$, or $k_{\mathrm{E}}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$, is followed. Rates in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are about twice as slow as in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which is consistent with earlier data. ${ }^{1}$
4. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-Incorporation Experiments. These experiments were carried out for two reasons. First, we wanted to obtain a direct measure of the reprotonation rate ratio $k_{-2} / k_{-1}$ to see if it was hydrogen isotope independent. This is important because the H-exchange experiments were (necessarily) carried out in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ where the reprotonating acid is $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ rather than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Second, we wished to see if the reprotonation rate was also isotope independent (i.e., $k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} / k_{-1}^{\mathrm{T}}$ or $k_{-2}{ }^{\mathrm{H}} / k_{-2} \mathrm{~T}$ ). This aspect is important in evaluating the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ method of determining epimerization rates in such systems (see Discussion). For the first experiments, equilibrium mixtures of $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S, R \text {-Asp })\right]^{+}$and $\Delta, \Lambda$ -
(27) Computer-assisted analysis was carried out for Scheme 1 with a conventional consecutive first-order rate program; $k_{\text {oba }}(1)=k_{1}+k_{2}$ for exchange of the first proton and $k_{\text {obs }}(2)$ represents $k_{3}$ or $k_{4}$ for exchange of the remaining proton.
(28) Only one of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ doublets of chelated valine shows an appreciable chemical shift difference between the two diastereomers (cf. Figure IA).


Figure 8. (A) Initial spectrum (after $\sim 3 \mathrm{~min}$ ) of $\mathrm{A}-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S \text {-Val) }]^{2+}\right.$ in $1.72 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOD} / 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. (B) Time-dependent changes in highest field $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ resonances showing formation of the $\Lambda-R$ diastereomer: $80-\mathrm{s}$ collection time; $5-\mathrm{min}$ intervals ( $1-7$ ); $30-\mathrm{min}$ intervals (8-18).

Table IV. Epimerization Rate Constants for $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{AA})\right]^{2+.+}$ Complexes in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{OD}^{-}$Solution ( $I=0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}(\mathrm{NaCl})$, $\left.25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{a}$

| complex | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{2}\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right] \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{s}^{-1}}{10^{4} k_{\text {oxe }}}(\mathrm{E})$ | $\begin{gathered} 10^{2} k_{\mathrm{E}}, \\ \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\ \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Val})\right] \mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 3.33 | 10.9 | 3.3 |
|  | 1.65 | 4.95 | 3.0 |
|  | 1.65 | 4.8 | 2.9 |
|  | 0.825 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Phe})\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | 1.25 | 17.25 | 13.8 |
|  | 0.63 | 9.06 | 14.5 |
| $\Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}\right.$ - Phe$\left.)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | 1.25 | 17.0 | 13.6 |
| $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Glu})\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ | 2.50 | 13.8 | 5.5 |
|  | 1.43 | 7.73 | 5.4 |
|  | $5.0^{\text {b }}$ | 14.1 | 2.8 |
| $\Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Asp})\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ | 3.33 | 9.79 | 3.0 |
|  | 2.50 | 6.92 | 2.8 |
|  | 1.43 | 4.58 | 3.2 |
|  | $5.0^{\text {b }}$ | 8.0 | 1.6 |

${ }^{a}$ Measured polarimetrically. ${ }^{b}$ In $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{OH}^{-}$solution, $I=0.1$ ( $\mathrm{Na}-$ Cl ), $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S, R \text {-Val })\right]^{2+}$ were treated with 0.05 M NaOH containing a trace of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for times corresponding to somewhat less than 1 half-time for epimerization in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Following removal of extraneous exchange into the amine functions of the ethylenediamine and amino acid ligands (this exchange is fast and complete under alkaline conditions), residual activity in the $2-\mathrm{CH}$ position

Table V. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ lncorporation into $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \text { (Asp) }\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{Val})\right]^{2+}$ Complexes ( $0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH} / 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

| complex | time, min | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{cpm}^{c} \\ ([\mathrm{Co}], \mathrm{mM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { cpm/[Co] } \\ \left(\times 10^{6}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $k_{-2}{ }^{T} / k_{-1}{ }^{\text {T }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S$, Asp ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 | 2598 (3.16) | 0.8222 |  |
| $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R$, Asp $^{a}$ | 5 | 3119 (3.08) | 1.0127 | 0.54, $0.52^{\prime}$ |
| $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S$, Asp ${ }^{a}$ | 15 | 7598 (3.12) | 2.435 |  |
| $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R$, Asp $^{a}$ | 15 | 8517 (3.17) | 2.687 | 0.61, $0.58{ }^{\prime}$ |
| $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S, \mathrm{Asp}^{\text {b }}$ | 15 | 6240 (4.63) | 1.348 |  |
|  | 15 | 1571 (0.926) | 1.697 |  |
|  | 15 |  | 1.77 (0) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  | 90 | 31880 (4.79) | 6.652 |  |
|  | 90 | 7525 (0.958) | 7.855 |  |
|  | 90 |  | 8.13 (0) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  | 16 h | 36842 (0.958) | 7.975 |  |
|  | 16 h | 8806 (0.924) | 9.530 |  |
|  | 16 h |  | 9.92 (0) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.58 |
| $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R, \mathrm{Asp}^{b}$ | 15 | 9526 (5.84) | 1.631 |  |
|  | 15 | 5285 (2.92) | 1.810 |  |
|  | 15 | 2310 (1.168) | 1.978 |  |
|  | 15 |  | 2.05 (0) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  | 90 | 38730 (5.125) | 7.557 |  |
|  | 90 | 20601 (2.56) | 8.047 |  |
| $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R, A s p{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 90 | 8875 (1.025) | 8.659 |  |
|  | 90 |  | 8.89 (0) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  | 16 h | 45480 (5.25) | 8.663 |  |
|  | 16 h | 23881 (2.62) | 9.115 |  |
|  | 16 h | 10532 (1.05) | 10.030 |  |
|  | 16 h |  | 10.32 (0) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S, \mathrm{Val}^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | 1157 (0.396) | 2.669 |  |
| $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R, \mathrm{Val}^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | 1325 (0.388) | 3.433 | 1.55 |

${ }^{a}$ An equilibrium mixture of all four diastereomers was used as the starting material in these experiments. ${ }^{b}$ The starting mixture for this experiment consisted of $59 \% \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Asp})\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$ and $41 \% \Delta$ [ $\left.\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Asp})\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$. ${ }^{6}$ Corrected for background counts, typically $45-50 \mathrm{cpm}$. Value from extrapolation of $\mathrm{cpm} /[\mathrm{Co}]$ to $[\mathrm{Co}]=0$. ${ }^{e}$ Calculated with $K_{\mathrm{C}}=0.67$ (Asp), 2.0 (Val). ${ }^{f}$ Directly obtained from total collected band (cf. Experimental Section).
was counted. Results are given in Table $V$. For the aspartate complex, the $k_{-2}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} / k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ ratio was found both from the total counts incorporated into each diastereomer (footnote $f$ ) and from the $\mathrm{cpm} /[\mathrm{Co}]$ values with $K_{\mathrm{C}}=0.67$. Both methods gave essentially the same result; $k_{-2}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} / k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}=0.55 \pm 0.03$. Removal of extraneous counts was shown to be complete ( $103 \%$ retention of activity) by extending the washing period (sample C, Experimental Section), and removal of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ from the complex once incorporated was shown to be slow under these conditions. (Sample D, Experimental Section, shows $94 \%$ retention of activity following treatment with 0.05 M NaOH for 15 min .) At long times ( 16 h ), however, incorporation into the two diastereomers approaches the same limiting value ( $\mathrm{cpm} /[\mathrm{Co}]$ ) as complete equilibration with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ takes over. For the valine complex, $k_{-2}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} / k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ was found to be 1.55 (single experiment).

The second experiment gives estimates of rates of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ incorporation into the aspartate complex. Data at 90 min give rate constants of $1.9 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S$ pair of epimers and $2.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R$ pair on the basis of complete equilibration after 16 h . Identical values would be expected. However, the experimental enrichments at 16 h are not quite the same ( $9.92 \times 10^{6}$ and $10.32 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{cpm} /[\mathrm{Co}]$ ), probably because complete equilibration of the tritium label is very slow as we have shown above. Final enrichments represent $75-80 \%$ of the total available enrichment based on the solvent figure with the major part of the difference from $100 \%$ probably reflecting quenching by the metal. This aspect was not investigated further here, but quenching factors of $\sim 70 \%$ are often observed in Co (III) systems. The averaged rate constant for ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ incorporation of $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ is to be compared with an epimerization rate of $\sim 1.55 \times 10^{-3}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ under the same conditions, giving ${k_{-1}}^{\mathrm{H}} /{k_{-1}}^{\mathrm{T}} \sim 8$.

## Discussion

Rate and equilibrium (concentration) constants are summarized in Table VI. The former are given as second-order values since the rate law $k_{\mathrm{obs}}=k\left[\mathrm{OD}^{-}\right]$for epimerization $\left(k=k_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ and ex-

Table VI. Equilibrium Constants and H -Exchange and
Epimerization Rate Constants for $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S, R-\mathrm{AA})\right]^{2+,+}$
Complexes ( $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, I=0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}\right)^{a, b}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aa | $K_{\mathrm{C}}$ | $10^{2} k_{1}$ | $10^{2} k_{2}$ | $10^{2} k_{\mathrm{E}}$ | eq 5 | eq, |
| $k_{-2} / k_{-1}$, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phe | $1.15 \pm 0.1$ | 12 | 17 | $14.0^{d}$ | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Val | $2.0 \pm 0.1$ | 3.3 | 2.5 | $3.1, c^{c} 3.0^{d}$ | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Ala | $1.0 \pm 0.02$ | 2.7 | 2.4 |  | 0.87 |  |
| Gly | 1.0 | 2.7 | 2.16 |  | 0.80 |  |
| Glu | $0.85 \pm 0.03$ | 5.8 | 5.05 | $5.45^{d}$ | 0.74 | 0.75 |
| Asp | $0.67 \pm 0.03$ | 4.1 | 3.1 | $3.0^{d}$ | 0.51 | 0.42 |

${ }^{a} K_{\mathrm{C}}$ is a concentration constant and is dimensionless; $k_{1}, k_{2}$, and $k_{\mathrm{E}}$ are second-order rate constants ( $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). ${ }^{6} K_{\mathrm{C}}=[\Lambda-R] /[\Lambda-S]$ $=k_{1} k_{-2} / k_{2} k_{-1}$ (mechanism I). ${ }^{c}$ In $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data (Table III). ${ }^{d}$ In $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; polarimetric data (Table IV).
change ( $k=k_{\mathrm{H}}$ ) is established, or verified, in sufficient detail to assume that it holds in all cases. All electrophilic substitutions in such systems appear to be base-catalyzed (in this case by $\mathrm{OD}^{-}$ or $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$).

In our earlier paper ${ }^{1}$ we showed that for the AA $=$ Ala and Val chelates H -exchange occurred at about the same rate as mutarotation when both experiments were carried out in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ / $\mathrm{OD}^{-}$. The present study confirms this, but with the higher precision possible by using the $300-\mathrm{MHz}$ NMR instrument, small differences now come to light. This is particularly so for the valine complex where H -exchange for both epimers, or H -exchange for one epimer and epimerization, was followed in one experiment. H -exchange for the two epimers was nearly always followed in this manner with mixtures. It is obvious from these $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ data (Table VI) that $k_{1} / k_{2}$ is not a measure of $K_{C}$, as was originally thought. Also, it can be seen from the table that the relative abilities of these complexes to undergo H -exchange (and epimerization) follow the order $\mathrm{Phe}>\mathrm{Glu}>\mathrm{Asp}>\mathrm{Val}>$ Ala $\sim$ Gly. This is not in agreement with previous ideas ${ }^{29}$ that would have the less positively charged Glu and Asp complexes ( $1+$ ) being less reactive than those of Phe, Val, Ala, and Gly (2+).

Differences between $k_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{E}}$ have an important bearing on the mechanism. In particular, they allow previous suggestions of a chiral carbanion intermediate ${ }^{4-7}$ to be examined. Three possible situations arise and we now briefly examine each of these. In what follows, $k_{\mathrm{E}}$ is the second-order rate constant for epimerization, and $k_{\mathrm{H}}=k_{1}$ or $k_{2}$ is the second-order rate constant for H -exchange.

Stepwise ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 1$ ) Process with a Common (Planar) Carbanion Intermediate. This will occur when proton loss and reprotonation occur as separate steps via a common intermediate. This situation is likely since carbon acidity is aided by delocalization of the developing negative charge onto the electronegative oxygen atoms of the carboxyl group. ${ }^{30}$ Co(III) will aid this process, and the extensive crystallographic data available on amino acid chelates ${ }^{31}$ suggest that there should be no steric constraints preventing attainment of the planar structure in the carbanion. Mechanism I then holds, with $k_{\mathrm{H}}=k_{1}$ (or $k_{2}$ ) for each epimer, $k_{\mathrm{E}}=\left(k_{1} k_{-2}\right.$ mechanism I

$\left.+k_{2} k_{-1}\right) /\left(k_{-1}+k_{-2}\right)$, and $K_{\mathrm{C}}=k_{1} k_{-2} / k_{2} k_{-1}$. Furthermore, $k_{\mathrm{E}}$

[^6]is directly related to $K_{\mathrm{C}}$, and the experimental quantities may be compared by using expressions 5 and 6.
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{\mathrm{C}} k_{2} / k_{1}=k_{-2} / k_{-1}  \tag{5}\\
k_{\mathrm{E}} /\left(k_{1}\left(1+K_{\mathrm{C}}^{-1}\right)-k_{\mathrm{E}}\right)=k_{-2} / k_{-1} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

Table VI (columns 6 and 7) compares such $k_{-2} / k_{-1}$ ratios and their agreement supports the correctness of the model. However, it must be pointed out that the experimental quantities do not correspond exactly to the cyclic process required by mechanism I since H -exchange (or more correctly H -removal) was necessarily measured in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $k_{-1}$ and $k_{-2}$ then represent deuteration rather than reprotonation rate constants. This is a common problem and has no effect on the final outcome provided $k_{-2} / k_{-1}$ is independent of the reprotonating isotope. This is likely, because although $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is a weaker acid than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (and therefore $k_{-2}$ and $k_{-1}$ are expected to have smaller values in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), the effect should be the same for both. The tracer experiments using ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as the reprotonating acid prove this to be so. After a short time in the presence of 0.05 M NaOH , the recovered $\Lambda-S / \Delta-R$ and $\Lambda-R / \Delta-S$ diastereomers show ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ incorporations that directly give $k_{-2}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} / k_{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}$. The agreement between this value and that found for $k_{-2} / k_{-1}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (viz., $0.52,0.54$ (Table V) vs $0.42,0.51$ (Table VI) for the Asp complex and 1.55 (Table V) vs $\sim 1.6$ (Table VI) for the Val complex) shows this ratio to indeed be independent of the reprotonating isotope. It should also be pointed out in this context that the equilibrium constant $K_{\mathrm{C}}$ is also the same in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Table I), and this together with the above result requires $k_{1} / k_{2}$ to also be independent of hydrogen isotope in the abstracting base $\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right.$or $\mathrm{OD}^{-}$).

Stepwise ( $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathbf{1}$ ) Chiral (Nonplanar) Carbanions. Previous studies on metal complexes of amino acids had suggested this situation. ${ }^{4-7}$ It would arise if deprotonation ( $k_{1}$ or $k_{2}$ ) resulted in separate $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbanions that had a significant lifetime before inverting or if steric factors (such as orientation of the amino acid side chain) controlled the rate of equilibration between two otherwise planar $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbanions. Mechanism II describes this situation and gives mechanism II

$k_{\mathrm{H}}=k_{1}\left(\right.$ or $\left.k_{2}\right), k_{\mathrm{E}}=\left(k_{1} k_{-2} k_{3}+k_{-1} k_{2} k_{-3}\right) /\left(k_{-1} k_{-2}+k_{-1} k_{-3}+\right.$ $k_{-2} k_{3}$ ), and $K_{\mathrm{C}}=k_{1} k_{3} k_{-2} / k_{-1} k_{-3} k_{2}$. The experimental quantities then take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{C}} k_{2} / k_{1}=k_{3} k_{-2} / k_{-3} k_{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{E}} /\left(k_{1}\left(1+K_{\mathrm{C}}^{-1}\right)-k_{\mathrm{E}}\right)=k_{3} k_{-2} / k_{-3} k_{-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{E}} /\left(k_{1}\left(1+K_{\mathrm{C}}^{-1}\right)-k_{\mathrm{E}}\right)=k_{3} / k_{-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expression 8 holds if inversion is fast compared to reprotonation ( $k_{3}, k_{-3} \gg k_{-1}, k_{-2}$ ), while expression 9 holds if this is not so ( $k_{3}, k_{-3}$ $\ll k_{-1}, k_{-2}$ ).

The experimental data allow a clear choice. Expressions 7 and 8 are in agreement, but expressions 7 and 9 are not since $k_{\mathrm{E}} /\left(k_{1}(1\right.$ $\left.+K_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{-1}\right)-k_{\mathrm{E}}$ ) would need to be smaller than $K_{\mathrm{C}} k_{2} / k_{1}$ and this is not so (Table VI). Clearly, inversion within the carbanions must be fast relative to reprotonation (i.e., $k_{3}, k_{-3}$ cannot be rate determining). The observed activation energies for proton loss and epimerization for the valine complex in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\Delta H^{*}(\mathrm{H})=66 \pm\right.$ $5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} ; \Delta H^{*}(\mathrm{E})=69 \pm 5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) support this conclusion, since their agreement supports rate-determining proton loss.

Furthermore, if separate carbanion intermediates did exist for appreciable lengths of time but their interchange was still fast relative to reprotonation (eq 7 and 8 ), then the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ experiments would require the inversion ratio $k_{3} / k_{-3}$ be unity since $k_{-2}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} / k_{-1}^{\mathrm{T}}$
$=k_{-2} / k_{-1}=k_{3} k_{-2} / k_{-3} k_{-1}$; that is, the two carbanion intermediates $\Lambda_{S}$ and $\Lambda_{R}$ would need to be equally stable. This possibility is considered unlikely in view of the significant differences in stability found for the parent (ground state) valine and aspartate complexes ( $K_{\mathrm{C}}=2.0$ and 0.67 , respectively).

Concerted ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2$ ) Inversion and Exchange. Such a situation would arise if reprotonation accompanied proton loss without participation of a discrete carbanion intermediate. If the reprotonating acid $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ approached exclusively from the opposite face (i.e., with inversion), then mechanism III would hold mechanism III

with $k_{\mathrm{H}}=k_{1}$ (or $k_{2}$ ), $k_{\mathrm{E}}=k_{1}+k_{2}$, and $K_{\mathrm{C}}=k_{1} / k_{2}$. Clearly this situation does not obtain since the data (Table VI) show that $k_{\mathrm{E}}$ is not equal to the sum $k_{1}+k_{2}$, nor is $K_{\mathrm{C}}$ equal to $k_{1} / k_{2}$. If concerted reprotonation were to occur in part with retention (i.e., both front- and back-side attack), then $k_{\mathrm{E}}$ would be less than the sum $k_{1}+k_{2}$ since $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ do not now measure only inversion. The observed $k_{\mathrm{E}}$ values are indeed less than the sum of $k_{1}+k_{2}$ (Table VI), so this possibility cannot be excluded, but it is considered unlikely in view of the obvious acidity of these carbon acids. Such possibilities have been discussed in detail by Jencks. ${ }^{32}$

Mechanistic Conclusions. It can therefore be safely assumed that a single (planar) carbanion intermediate is formed from these complexes and that this controls the thermodynamic distribution of epimers (mechanism I). Thus the suggested noncorrespondence of deuteration and epimerization rates found by Legg and coworkers for the aspartate and glutamate complexes ${ }^{5.7,8}$ must be in error. However, their undoubtedly correct demonstration of retention of asymmetry in tridentate aspartate complexes of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})^{6}$ presumably arises from a thermodynamically preferred direction for reprotonation. It is expected that thermodynamic control will always be maintained in these situations.

The molecular basis for this control is less easy to unravel. There have been several investigations in this area and some noteworthy demonstrations of selectivity have been found. For the systems studied here, some comment can be made. For $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{Gly})\right]^{2+}$, where the amino acid is achiral, there is a slight preference for the electrophile $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ to enter from that face resulting in the $\Lambda-R$ epimer $\left(k_{-2} / k_{-1}=0.80\right)$. The same directional preference is found for $\Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \text { (Ala) }\right]^{2+}\left(k_{-2} / k_{-1}=0.87\right)$, in this case forming the $\Lambda-S$ epimer. This selectivity presumably measures the dissymmetric environment provided by the ethylenediamine chelates, with reprotonation being slightly favored from one side of the carbanion face. An examination of molecular models shows no obvious reason why this should be so. For the glutamate and aspartate complexes this preference is increased ( $k_{-2} / k_{-1}=0.74$ and $0.4-0.50$, respectively), and the increase could be attributed to H -bonding between the side chain of the amino acid and other amine functions in the manner suggested by Legg. ${ }^{5-8}$ For the larger hydrophobic substituents of phenylalanine and valine the reverse holds, with the $\Lambda-R$ epimer now being favored for reprotonation ( $k_{-2} / k_{-1}=1.62$ and 1.55 , respectively). This could arise from steric factors and suggests that in the absence of specific interactions of the type mentioned above for aspartate and glutamate increasing size favors reprotonation from the carbanion face, giving rise to the $\Lambda-R$ epimer.

This interpretation certainly requires further examination. But it should be pointed out that reprotonation of the carbanion produced from the amino acid ester chelate $\Lambda$ - $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S\right.$ AlaOMe) $]^{3+}$ by HAlaOMe ${ }^{+}$in DMSO also prefers retention over inversion by a respectable margin $\left(k_{-2} / k_{-1} \sim 0.45\right)$. The wellknown stereoselective addition of acetaldehyde to the glycinate
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carbanion to give the threonine and allothreonine chelates ${ }^{33}$ provides a different, but related, example. In this case the electrophile adds preferentially to give the $\Lambda-S$ epimer ( $16 \%$ isomer excess for threonine; $35 \%$ excess for allothreonine), whereas we have shown that $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ deuterates preferentially from the opposite face to give the $\Lambda-R$ epimer. The important point here is that kinetic selectivity for prochiral glycine in $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\text { Gly })\right]^{2+}$ has been demonstrated without the need for a more elaborate process such as that involving prior stereoselective addition of the electrophile to an adjacent deprotonated amine center to generate a more asymmetric environment. ${ }^{34}$ While the factors mentioned above require further examination for a range of electrophiles, the reprotonation selectivities given in Table VI provide a useful starting point.

Tritium Isotope Effect and Carbanion Stability. Finally, some comment is appropriate on the observation that the rate of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ incorporation into the aspartate carbanion is some 8 times slower than that for epimerization ( $k^{\mathrm{T}} \sim 4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ vs $k(\mathrm{E})$ $=3.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Smith and Sivakau had previously found no isotope effect in reprotonating the carbanion produced from phenylglycine in phosphate buffer at elevated temperatures, ${ }^{35}$ and they interpreted this in terms of diffusion-controlled reprotonation. ${ }^{35,36}$ It might be inferred that this would be a general result for amino acids and peptides, and indeed we assumed this to be the case in designing our initial experiments on the epimerization of Co (III)-amino acid methyl ester complexes used in synthesizing small peptides. ${ }^{37}$ However, others ${ }^{38}$ have subse-

[^8]quently found epimerization in this system to be much faster than we expected from our tritium studies, and this suggested that the Co (III)-activated ester method was inappropriate for the retention of asymmetric centers. While proton exchange in the active ester is indeed generally faster than reaction to form the peptide bond, this can be controlled by using the correct asymmetry about the Co (III) center. We will return to this important problem when discussing our results on the peptide-forming reaction. ${ }^{3}$

However, it could be that the metal stabilizes the carbanion in such a way as to discriminate between potential electrophiles. This comes directly from our tritium results compared to those for the free amino acid. ${ }^{35,36}$ Also, the metal-carbanion is under thermodynamic control so that it must at least be in equilibrium with its solvent cage. Whether it is more stable than this, and discriminates in an equilibrium sense between electrophiles from the bulk solution, is not yet certain.

Registry No. $\Lambda$ - $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-A l a)\right]^{2+}$, 28459-63-4; $\Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\right.$ Ala) $]^{2+}$, 28536-95-0; $\Omega$-[Co(en) ${ }_{2}(S$-Phe) $] I_{2}, 123881-50-5 ; \Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2^{-}}\right.$ ( $S$-Phe)] ${ }_{2}, 123881-51-6 ; \Omega-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Phe})\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}, 123881-52-7$; $\Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S\right.$-Phe $\left.)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \quad 39000-16-3 ; \quad \Omega-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Val})\right] \mathrm{I}_{2}$, 123881-53-8; $\Delta$-[Co(en) $)_{2}(S$ - Val) $] I_{2}, 123881-54-9 ; ~ \Lambda$ - $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S\right.$-Val) $]-$ $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, 123881-55-0 ; \Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\mathrm{Val})\right] \mathrm{Br}_{2}, 123881-56-1 ; \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S-\right.$ $\mathrm{Glu})] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}, 16040-63-4 ; \Delta$ - $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}$-Glu) $] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}, 33293-37-7 ; ~ \Lambda$ - $[\mathrm{Co}-$ (en) $)_{2}(S$-Asp) $] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}, 33864-49-2 ; \Delta$ - $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S\right.$-Asp $\left.)\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}, 33864-50-5$; $\Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(S, R-\mathrm{AspH})\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 123932-07-0 ; \Delta, \Lambda-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}\left(R, S-\mathrm{Val}^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, 123881-57-2; $\Lambda$ - $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \text { (Gly) }\right]^{2+}, 19657-80-8 ; \Delta-\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{Gly})\right]^{2+}$, 19657-79-5; $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, 1333-74-0.
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